

Proposal Title :	Amendment to Schedule 1 of Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 to add an additional use of Child Care Centre at 25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock				
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks amendment to Schedule 1 of Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 to add an additional use of 'Child Care Centre' at 25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock.				
PP Number :	PP_2015_CANAD_002	_00	Dop File No :	15/15378	
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	29-Sep-2015		LGA covered :	Canada Bay	
Region :	Metro(CBD)		RPA :	City of Canad	la Bay Council
State Electorate :	DRUMMOYNE		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning	Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning				
Location Details					
Street : 25 S	Spencer Street				
Suburb : Five	e Dock	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2046
Land Parcel : Lot	13 DP11967				
Street : 27 S	Spencer Street				
Suburb : Five	e Dock	City :	Sydney	Postcode :	2046
Land Parcel : Lot	12 DP11967				
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Alan Chen				
Contact Number :	0292286410				
Contact Email :	alan.chen@planning.ns	w.gov.au			
RPA Contact Detai	ls				
Contact Name :	Elvie Magallanes				
Contact Number :	0299116410				
Contact Email :	Elvie.Magallanes@cana	dabay.ns	w.gov.au		
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Diane Sarkies				
Contact Number :	0292286522				
Contact Email :	diane.sarkies@planning	g.nsw.gov	.au		

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0

The NSW Government **Yes** Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :

If No, comment :

The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communication and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with.

Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :

If Yes, comment :

The Metropolitan (CBD) branch has not met any lobbyists in relation to this planning proposal, nor has the Director of Metropolitan (CBD) been advised of any meetings between other Departmental officers and lobbyists concerning this proposal.

Supporting notes

Internal Supporting Notes :

The planning proposal is seeking an amendment to Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 (CBLEP 2013) to add the land use 'child care centres' to Schedule 1 – Additional Permitted uses at 25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock ('the subject site').

25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock is currently zoned as IN1 General Industrial under the CBLEP 2013. A vacant light industrial building with basement parking, approved under a Development Application, currently occupies the subject site. The current zoning of the subject site prohibits the use for a child care centre. The subject site is located within an industrial precinct and development in the surrounding area generally comprises industrial use.

To the south of the subject site is a sex services premises operating with consent approved by Council on the 7th May 2002. The council report notes on 18 May 2015, following a meeting between the proponent and Council staff, it was mentioned that the sex service premises has been operating without incident since approval.

In 2012, Council prepared and endorsed a Child and Family Needs Strategy to enhance the wellbeing of families and children in the Canada Bay Local Government Area (LGA). The strategy states that the undersupply of child care centres in the Canada Bay LGA is an ongoing issue. Council has acknowledged their commitment to encourage the expansion of child care places through existing Council services, not for profit providers and the private sector. The proposal will allow an additional Childcare Centre facility within Canada Bay LGA and is deemed consistent with the Child and Family Needs Strategy.

Similar past planning proposals have sought Schedule 1 - Additional permitted uses for Child Care Centres, and apply to sites 95 and 97-99 Queens Road, Five Dock which are located in the vicinity of the subject site. These proposals have been approved by the Minister's delegate and amendments to the CBLEP 2013 were notified on 23 August 2013

	and 21 February 2014 respectively.
	The draft Parramatta Road Urban Renewal Strategy identifies the subject site within the Kings Bay Precinct. Kings Bay Precinct has been indicated for future mixed use growth.
	The Department notes that a Development Application for the conversion of an existing building to a child care centre has been submitted for the subject site. The decision for the Development Application is deferred until the determination of the planning proposal.
External Supporting Notes :	The planning proposal seeks an amendment to Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 (CBLEP 2013) to add Child Care Centre as an additional permitted use at 25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock.
	On 15 September 2015, Council supported the Planning Proposal to be submitted to the Department for a Gateway Determination.

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The objective of this planning proposal is to facilitate an additional land use of 'Child Care Centre' at 25-27 Spencer Street while maintaining the existing IN1 - General Industrial

Zoning.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The planning proposal will amend Schedule 1 of the Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan to include a child care centre as an additional permitted use at 25-27 Spencer Street,

Five Dock.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

- * May need the Director General's agreement
- 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

Is the Director General's agreement required? N/A

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : No

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to	State Environmental Planning Policy 55 - Remediation of Land (SEPP 55)
be considered :	Clause 6 of the policy requires a planning authority to consider contamination and remediation in rezoning proposals. In relation to land concerned with development proposed for child care purposes, the planning authority is to have regard to a report specifying the findings of a preliminary investigation of the land carried out in accordance with the contaminated land planning guidelines.
	The planning proposal for a potential change of use from industrial to a child care centre is not supported by a contaminated lands assessment. Council has raised concerns about potential contamination on the subject site. Subsequently, the proposal should be supported by a preliminary site investigation report, in order to determine suitability for those uses permitted under the IN1 - General Industrial zone, and to satisfy the requirements of SEPP 55.

	The Department recommends the gateway determination include a condition requiring detailed consideration of SEPP 55 and assessment to determine the appropriateness of the additional permitted use (in relation to site contamination), prior to public exhibition. The assessment is to be included in the exhibition documents.		
	The planning proposal is considered not inconsistent with other relevant s117 directions and State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs), except for Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones which is to be discussed later in the report.		
Have inconsistencies with	items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? No		
If No, explain :	Direction 1.1 - Business and Industrial Zones This direction applies when a planning proposal affects land within an existing industrial zone.		
	The Department considers the proposal to be inconsistent with the direction as it enables a non-industrial use in an IN1 General Industrial zone which reduces potential floor space for industrial uses.		
	A planning proposal may be consistent with the terms of this direction if the inconsistency is either justified by a strategy or study which gives consideration to the objective of this direction and is approved by the Secretary, or is in accordance with the relevant Regional Strategy prepared by the Department, or is of minor significance.		
	The Department notes Council's Child and Family Needs Strategy has identified the shortage of child care placements across the Canada Bay LGA. However, the strategy does not give consideration to the terms of Direction 1.1. Notwithstanding, it is considered that the inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance.		
Mapping Provided - s5	55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? Yes			
Comment :	The proposal has provided aerial photos to indicate the locations of the affected properties which is adequate for the purposes of assessment of this planning proposal.		
	There are no amendments to maps associated with the Canada Bay LEP 2013.		
Community consultati	on - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consultation	on been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	The proponent proposes community consultation will be implemented in accordance with Section 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.		
	Council anticipates the timeframe for community consultation will be as specified in the conditions of the Gateway Determination.		
Additional Director Ge	eneral's requirements		
Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No			
If Yes, reasons :			
Overall adequacy of the	ne proposal		
Does the proposal meet th	ne adequacy criteria? Yes		

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in relation The Canada Bay Council LEP 2013 was gazetted on the 19 July 2013. to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	On 15 September 2015, Council resolved to support the planning proposal, to amend the Canada Bay LEP 2013 to add the land use 'Child Care Centre' to Schedule 1 for 25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock. The planning proposal is the only mechanism that would allow for addition of this use to Schedule 1.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	 A Plan for Growing Sydney provides direction for the city's future growth over the next two decades. The planning proposal is consistent with Direction 1.11 – "Deliver Infrastructure" on providing social infrastructure, such as a child care centre, to support the shortage of child care places in the area as identified in Council's Child and Family Needs Strategy.
	• The New Parramatta Urban Transformation Program is led by UrbanGrowth NSW which aims to deliver urban renewal along the Parramatta Road corridor. The draft strategy identifies the subject site to accommodate future mixed use zoning under the Kings Bay Structure Plan. The vision of the draft strategy outlines Kings Bay Precinct to accommodate future mixed use growth which is not inconsistent with the planning proposal. As the draft strategy is in process, the Department recommends the proponent consult with UrbanGrowth NSW for their views on the planning proposal.
	• The proposal is consistent with the Council commissioned 'Child and Family Needs Strategy'. During the public exhibition of this strategy, findings identified the need for more child care places. Council's report states Council acknowledges the lack of child care places as an on-going issue and encourages the expansion of childcare places through different industry sectors. On 19 March 2013, Council adopted the strategy. The proposal is consistent with this strategy as it seeks to allow the use of a child care centre on site which positively responds to the shortage of child care places in the area.
	• 'FuturesPlan20' (FP20) is a 20 year Council strategic plan developed for the City of Canada Bay area. The proposal is generally consistent with the strategy, particularly with the themes of 'Active and Vibrant',' Innovative and Engaged' and 'Thriving and Connected', in that the proposal will facilitate a child care centre in a local government area with a shortage of child care places.
Environmental social economic impacts :	ENVIRONMENTAL The planning proposal will not result in any impact on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats as the site is located within a fully urbanised area and is currently developed.
	A Traffic Impact Study, prepared by Hemanote Consultants, states under the circumstances that a child care centre is proposed, the external traffic generated would not result in major impacts on existing traffic or parking conditions.
	SOCIAL The Department notes that the objectives of the planning proposal would address the undersupply of child care places in the Canada Bay Local Government Area (LGA) as identified in Council's commissioned Child and Family Needs Strategy. However the strategy does not give specific consideration of the subject site to allow a child care centre.

l	additional use of Child	Care Centre at 25-27 Spence	er Street, Five Dock	
		The Department notes a meeting May 2015 to discuss the subject confirmed that the sex service p been operating without incident adequate consideration to the co premises.	site's vicinity to a sex servic remises was approved by Co since then. However, the pro	es premises. The meeting ouncil on 7 May 2002 and has oposal does not provide
		The Department recommends th give consideration to the existin and Regatta Road. In particular, the established sex services pre demonstrate	g sex service premises on th the proposal needs to consident mises and the proposed chil	e corner of Parramatta Road der the potential impacts to ld care centre; and
		how potential land-use conflicts reference to Clause 6.7 (1) - 'Loc 2013.		
		ECONOMIC The proposal is considered cons Impact Study, prepared by Hill P zoning of the Five Dock industri assessed three planning scenar and social outcomes. Scenario 2 land to B5 Business Developme generating land through facilitat needs of industrial occupants. T However,the Standard Instrumed development with consent withi	PDA. The study was undertak al area which the subject site ios and discerned Scenario 2 2 recommends potential rezo nt to support efficient use of tion of a wider range of busin The current CBLEP 2013 does ntal template permits 'Child (en to investigate the future e is located in. The study 2 to have the best economic oning of IN1 General Industrial existing employment nesses to cater to the diverse s not include B5 zoning.
		The outcome of this planning pr places in the Canada Bay LGA.	oposal would also address t	he high demand for child care
		The Department notes the consi entire precinct is potentially rezo consider the economic impacts precinct.	oned to B5 Business Develop	pment. The study does not
	Assessment Proces	S		
	Proposal type ;	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days

			Period :	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)	Other			
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required?		No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ?		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No				
If Yes, reasons :				

Identify any additional studies, if required, 1

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? Yes

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Letter seeking Gateway Determination 25 - 27 Spencer	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Street Five Dock.pdf		
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council Report and Resolution - 25 - 27 Spencer Street	Study	Yes
Five Dock.pdf		
EMGA - Noise Assessment.pdf	Study	Yes
Hemanote Consultants - Traffic and Parking Impact	Study	Yes
Assessment.pdf		
Indoor Environmental Solution - Electromagnetic	Study	Yes
Energy Report.pdf		
Indoor Environmental Solutions - Ambient Air Quality	Study	Yes
Assessment.pdf		
Attachment_1Information_checklist.pdf	Proposal	No
Attachment_4Evaluation_criteria_for_the_delegation	Proposal	No
_of_plan_making_functions.pdf		

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1.Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal is considered routine as described in A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013) and must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and
	(b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning and Environment 2013).
	2. Consultation with UrbanGrowth NSW is required under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act. UrbanGrowth NSW is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.

Amendment to Schedule 1 of Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 to add an
additional use of Child Care Centre at 25-27 Spencer Street, Five Dock

.

No.				
	3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).			
	4. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be updated to give consideration to the existing approved sex service premises on the corner of Parramatta Road and Regatta Street, and any potential land use conflicts that may arise for the proposed use with reference to Clause 6.7 - 'Location of Services Premises' of Canada Bay LEP 2013.			
	5. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be updated to demonstrate consistency with State Environmental Planning Policy 55 – Remediation of Land (SEPP 55).			
	6. Prior to exhibition, the planning proposal is to be updated to demonstrate consistency with the Draft New Parramatta Road Urban Transformation Strategy.			
	7. The planning proposal is to be completed within 9 months of the Gateway determination.			
Supporting Reasons :	The outcome of the planning proposal would address the shortage of 'Child Care Centres' in the Canada Bay LGA as identified in Council's local strategic plan and is therefore supported. Potential land use conflicts with the adjoining approved sex services premises will need to be considered and managed appropriately.			
Signature:	P.Sa. bus			
Printed Name:	Diane Sarkies Date: 13/11/15			